

THE DEVELOPMENT OF MATHEMATICS LEARNING TOOLS USING REALISTIC MATHEMATICS EDUCATION APPROACH TO RELATIONS AND FUNCTIONS

by Noviani Student

Submission date: 07-Dec-2020 11:54PM (UTC+0900)

Submission ID: 1467482192

File name: 16261-46316-1-RV_-_Copy_2.docx (439.66K)

Word count: 4087

Character count: 22087

29
**THE DEVELOPMENT OF MATHEMATICS LEARNING TOOLS USING
REALISTIC MATHEMATICS EDUCATION APPROACH TO
RELATIONS AND FUNCTIONS**

20
Abstract:

This study aims to produce learning tools using the Realistic Mathematics Education approach on relation and function material. This type of research used the Plomp development model which consists of three phases, namely the initial investigation phase, the development or prototyping phase and the assessment phase. The research subjects are grade VIII students of SMPN 2 Takengon with instruments in the form of expert validation sheets, practicality assessment sheets and effectiveness assessment sheets. The resulting tools include lesson plans, student books, teacher books, and student worksheets. The average assessment on the four aspects of the lesson plan was 3.89 with valid criteria. The average assessment of the three aspects of the teacher's book was 3.98 with valid criteria. The average assessment of three aspects of the student book was 3.97 with valid criteria. The average assessment of two aspects on the student worksheets was 3.75 with valid criteria. Learning implementation 96.86% and carried out well. The teacher's response showed that on average 82% of the aspects of the lesson plan assessment are responded positively by the teacher. The response of student books was obtained by 83.2% of students who gave positive responses to student books and 82.6% of students who gave positive responses to student worksheets. The learning outcomes of students obtained a classical average value of 74.2. The results of validation and testing of learning tools show that the tools meet the criteria of learning tools developed referring to valid, practical, and effective.

21
Keywords: Development, Learning Tools, Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) Approach

**PENGEMBANGAN PERANGKAT PEMBELAJARAN MATEMATIKA
MENGUNAKAN PENDEKATAN REALISTIC MATHEMATICS
EDUCATION PADA MATERI RELASI DAN FUNGSI**

26
Abstrak:
Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menghasilkan perangkat pembelajaran menggunakan pendekatan Realistic Mathematics Education pada materi relasi dan fungsi. Jenis penelitian ini menggunakan model pengembangan Plomp yang terdiri dari tiga fase yaitu fase investigasi awal, fase pengembangan atau pembuatan protipe dan fase penilaian. Subjek penelitian adalah siswa kelas VIII SMPN 2 Takengon dengan instrumen berupa lembar validasi para ahli, lembar penilaian kepraktisan dan lembar penilaian keefektifan. Perangkat yang dihasilkan meliputi RPP, buku siswa, buku guru, dan LKS. Rata-rata penilaian pada empat aspek pada RPP adalah 3,89 dengan kriteria valid. Rata-rata penilaian tiga aspek pada buku guru adalah 3,98 dengan kriteria valid. Rata-rata penilaian tiga aspek pada buku siswa adalah 3,97 dengan kriteria valid. Rata-rata penilaian dua aspek pada LKS adalah 3,75 dengan kriteria valid. Keterlaksanaan

pembelajaran 96,86% dan terlaksana dengan baik. Respons guru diperoleh bahwa rata-rata 82% aspek penilaian RPP direspons positif oleh guru. Respons peserta didik pada buku siswa diperoleh 83,2% peserta didik yang memberikan respons positif terhadap buku siswa dan 82,6% peserta didik yang memberikan respons positif terhadap LKS. Hasil belajar peserta didik diperoleh nilai rata-rata klasikal 74,2. Hasil validasi dan uji coba perangkat pembelajaran menunjukkan perangkat memenuhi kriteria perangkat pembelajaran yang dikembangkan mengacu yaitu valid, praktis, dan efektif.

Kata kunci: Pengembangan, Perangkat Pembelajaran, Pendekatan *Realistic Mathematics Education* (RME)

INTRODUCTION

Mathematics is one of the subjects that must be taken by students at the primary school, junior high school and senior high school. Mathematics is recognized as a very useful field of study because it is applied to other subjects (Inglis & Attridge, 2016). Learning mathematics can develop and hone general skills, such as logical thinking, the ability to analyze problems based on assumptions, and an awareness of how underlying assumptions can influence analytical conclusions or think (Inglis & Attridge, 2016). However, many students consider mathematics to be a difficult subject to understand. Common stereotypes about math are difficult and boring (Hall & Suurtamm, 2020). Students who find it difficult to understand mathematics consider mathematics to be a worrying specter.

One research study stated that teachers must have a meaningful learning concept, meaningful assignments that are relevant to students' lives so that mathematics is not boring for students (Khoshaim, 2020). The role of the mathematics teacher was to provide meaningful mathematics teaching, not only teaching mathematics based on rules of concepts and procedures (Aytekin & Şahiner, 2020). The teaching of mathematics was not only oriented to basic principles and techniques, but also to its application in certain contexts (Gil-Doménech & Berbegal-Mirabent, 2020). Because of this, meaningful mathematics teaching is an important virtue that mathematics teachers must apply.

Based on the observations of researchers at SMPN 2 Takengon, mathematics learning at the school is still teacher-centered. Teachers still used the lecture method in the learning process and students only listen to and record explanations from the teacher. Then when the teacher asked a question, only

one and two students answered, the other students just kept quiet. From the results of these observations, the researcher observed that student activities became passive during the learning process. Passive students will position themselves as objects that only wait and receive information from educators or teachers (Wibowo, 2020). In addition, researchers also found mismatches in the application of learning methods to lesson plans and conditions in the field. The method to be used in the learning process as outlined in the lesson plan is the discussion and question and answer method, but the fact is that the teacher does not apply this method, the teacher only uses the lecture method.

Learning approach that focuses on meaningful learning is Realistic Mathematics Education (RME). RME was developed by a group of mathematicians since 1971 at Utrecht University in the Netherlands. Indonesia has adopted RME since 1994 which was originally chaired by Professor Sembiring from the Bandung Institute of Technology (Zulkardi et al., 2020). In Indonesia, RME is better known as Indonesian Realistic Mathematics Education (PMRI). The main objective of the RME approach is to apply real-world problems in the mathematics learning process so that learning activities are inspiring and meaningful for all students (Zulkardi et al., 2020). RME principle emphasizes that the students actively in the learning process, students dominating the learning activities and student learning activities close to the students real-life problems (Ndiung, 2020). Learning mathematics that is inspiring and meaningful for students will help students deal with problems found in everyday life.

The RME approach applied in schools is recognized as one of the means providing the best and most detailed elaboration of a problem-based approach (Hadi, 2002). RME also focuses on the process of skills when doing mathematics that leads students to solve their own problems by utilizing informal knowledge from student life (Gee et al., 2018). One study that focused on RME states that student progress when creating mathematical ideas, they are able to develop methods in their own way (Rasmussen & King, 2000). Research conducted on students in secondary schools in South Africa stated that RME was successful in enhancing their comprehension (Barnes, 2004). The RME approach emphasizes that teachers are actively involved in designing and developing learning materials or tools, implementing strategies that allow students to be more active thinkers in the class, and developing context and teaching materials that are closely related to the school environment and student interests (Sembiring et al., 2008). Because of this, the development of learning tools using

the RME approach is expected to motivate and develop students' interest in learning mathematics. The results of the study stated that the learning materials for mathematics using the RME approach met, the effective criteria so as to improve students' problem solving abilities (Putri et al., 2019). The results of other studies also suggested that learning tools were developed using RME approach valid criteria, practical and effective so that increase students' independence and problem solving skills of students (Hasibuan et al., 2018). The development of learning tools that are arranged must be in accordance with the curriculum, using realistic and contextual problems to help and motivate students. Good learning tools are expected to accommodate the students' mathematics learning process which has been considered difficult by students. In addition, structured and systematic learning tools will facilitate mathematics teachers in the learning process (Amin, 2014).

The materials chosen in this study are relations and functions. Relationship and function material is a mathematical material that really needs to be considered because it is the basis of introducing calculus. In the discussion of the calculus will be discussed more about the limit functions, quadratic functions, trigonometric functions and others. Junior high school students must complete understanding of the concept of relations and functions because in senior high school will be explained further material about the function and the types of other functions. Then the material on relations and functions can also help students solve problems in daily life, because the context is close to real life, such as "the relationship of".

The development of quality learning device can produce a good learning model development (Fauzi & Waluya, 2018). In addition to creating good learning and helping students, good learning tools help teachers in the process of delivering indicators that must be understood by students in an interesting way so that it is more effective and efficient (Destino & Bharata, 2019). Based on the description above, it is necessary to develop a learning device using RME approach. Learning tools that will be developed include lesson plans, worksheets, student books and teacher books. The purpose of this study is to develop learning tools using an RME approach to the material relations and functions and to describe the quality of the learning device from the aspect of validity, practicality and effectiveness. This research will produce learning tools on the relation and function material of class VIII SMP based on the RME approach.

METHODS

This research is a development research using the Plomp development model. The Plomp model is seen as more flexible than other models, for example the Four-D model because each step contains development activities that can be adjusted according to the characteristics of the research (Rochmad, 2012). The Plomp development model consists of an initial investigation phase, a development or prototyping phase, and an assessment phase (Plomp & Nieveen, 2013). In the phase of the investigation carried out curriculum analysis, concept analysis, and analysis of student characteristics. In the development phase or prototype, an RME-based mathematics learning device was designed. In the assessment phase carried out limited testing at SMPN 2 Takengon, Central Aceh Regency.

Quality learning tools must include criteria that refer to validity, practicality, effectiveness (Nieveen, 1999). In this study, the validity to be assessed was the validity of learning tools, namely the validity of the lesson plans, the validity of teacher books, student books and student worksheets. In the development phase of evaluation by the two (2) experts and practitioners in each of the learning tools. The validator in this study was a mathematics education lecturer at IAIN Takengon with the initials ER and AU. The quantitative data from the validation results were analyzed by determining the average score of the validator's assessment (I_i) on each indicator and then determining the validity score (V_s) by calculating the average I_i on all assessment indicators.

The validity criteria used are based on the following validity score criteria.

Table 1. Criteria for the Validity Score

Interval V_s	Criteria
$4 < V_s \leq 5$	Highly Valid
$3 < V_s \leq 4$	Valid
$2 < V_s \leq 3$	Less Valid
$1 \leq V_s \leq 2$	Invalid

(Mauliana et al., 2018)

Learning tools are declared valid if at least be in a valid category. The practicality of the tool is seen from the feasibility of learning, teacher responses, and students at the trial stage. The effectiveness of the tool is seen from the learning outcomes achieved by students after participating in learning with the tools developed.

1 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the stages of the Plomp development model, the first phase is the initial investigation. The analysis at this stage includes a needs analysis, the curriculum, concepts, and characteristics of students. In this phase, conducted a needs analysis to assess the needs in the development of learning tools. The stage of the curriculum analysis carried out was a review of the 2013 curriculum in mathematics class VIII SMP odd semesters, which consisted of number patterns, Cartesian coordinates, relations and functions, straight line equations and a two-variable linear equation system. Further curriculum analysis will serve as a guidelines in developing learning tools using the RME approach. Concept analysis aims to determine the content and material needed in developing learning tools to achieve competency achievement indicators. In this research, the main concepts were relations and functions. Analysis of the character of students in this study based on the results of observations and interviews resulted that mathematics learning at the school is also teacher-centered. Teachers also used the lecture method in the learning process and students only listen to and record explanations from the teacher. When the teacher asked a question, the other students only stayed silent, responding just one and two students. From the results of these findings, the researcher noticed that during the learning process, student behaviors were passive. In the application of learning methods to lesson plans and situations in the field, researchers have also identified mismatches. The approach to be used in the learning process as illustrated in the lesson plan is the method of discussion and question and answer, but the truth is that this method is not used by the teacher, only the lecture method is used by the teacher.

In the development or prototyping phase, the Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) based learning device prototype is designed. Learning tools developed include lesson plans, worksheets, student books and teacher books. At this stage also tests the validity of the learning tools are developed.

Phase assessment performed limited testing on 25 students of class VIII SMPN 2 Takengon. At this stage the practicality test and effectiveness test were carried out.

1. Validity of Learning Tools

Analysis of the validity of the learning tool aims to determine the extent to which the learning device developed lesson plan and worksheets valid criteria based on expert judgment using the validation sheet (Marlinda &

Wijaya, 2018). In the development phase of evaluation by the two (2) experts and practitioners in each of the learning tools. The quantitative assessments of the two experts were averaged to determine the validity score (Vs). The Vs score is categorized according to Table 1, and several revisions were made based on the qualitative assessment of the validator for each of the learning tools described next.

The Validity of Lesson Plan

Aspects that are assessed in validating the lesson plan are curriculum, material, language, and time allocation. The average assessment of four aspects of the lesson plan is 3.89 with valid criteria.

Table 2. Presents the Results of Expert Assessments on the Validity Aspects of the Lesson Plan

No.	Aspects	<i>It</i>	Criteria
1	Curriculum	4	Valid
2	Material	3,9	Valid
3	Language	3,66	Valid
4	Time Allocation	4	Valid
	Vs	3,89	Valid

Although the lesson plan assessment criteria are valid, the validator provides suggestions for improvement. The validator's suggestion is that because learning uses the Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) learning approach, the lesson plan needs to bring up an activity level design based on the RME principle. The activity levels referred to include: 1) situation; 2) model of; 3) model for; 4) formal knowledge.

Table 3. Presents the Results of the Revision of Lesson Plan

Revision	Validators' Suggestions
The RME stage is emphasized in phase 2: the presentation of the material (STAD model) in the RPP includes <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <i>Situation;</i> 2. <i>Model of;</i> 3. <i>Model for;</i> 4. <i>Formal knowledge.</i> 	It is necessary to emphasize each stage of the RME approach in the learning phase planned in the lesson plan.

Based on the validator's suggestion, the following are examples of improvements to the first meeting lesson plan shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Presents the Revised Results of the Validators for Improving the Lesson Plan

Phase 2: Presenting the Material		
Learning Objectives	Mental Activity	Time Allocation
Through this activity, students connect members to the two sets (real context) given and construct sentences about the relationships formed. (Situation)	Connect the members on the left with the members on the right with arrows (→). Write down the name of the relationship you made in sentence form (<i>Tuliskan apa nama hubungan yang kalian buat dalam bentuk kalimat</i>)	15



32
Figure 1. Diagram 1



Figure 2. Diagram 2

Through this activity, students are able to develop knowledge about relations in a mathematical context, in this case involving a set of numbers
(Model of)

Define the relationship what you observe on the sets of the following numbers (Rumuskan hubungan apa yang kalian amati pada himpunan-himpunan bilangan berikut ini:)

15




Figure 3. Diagram 3




Figure 4. Diagram 4

Through this activity, the student is able to determine the Y members corresponding to the members of X based on a

Find the member of set Y (y) which is the equivalent of the member of set X (x) based on the relation $X \rightarrow Y$ that is determined as follows: (X and Y are sets of real numbers)

15

predetermined relationship
(Model for)

(Tentukan anggota himpunan Y (y) yang merupakan padanan dari anggota himpunan X (x) berdasarkan relasi $X \rightarrow Y$ yang ditentukan berikut:
(X dan Y adalah himpunan pada bilangan Real)

1. Relation: y one is less than three times x
(Relasi: y satu lebih kecil dari tiga kali x)

$$[y = 3x - 1]$$

- a) $x = -4 \rightarrow y = \dots$
- b) $x = -2 \rightarrow y = \dots$
- c) $x = 3 \rightarrow y = \dots$
- d) $x = 5 \rightarrow y = \dots$
- e) $x = 9 \rightarrow y = \dots$

2. Relation: y is the square of x minus 1 (Relasi: y merupakan kuadrat dari x dikurang 1)

$$[y = (x - 1)^2]$$

- a) $x = -2 \rightarrow y = \dots$
- b) $x = -1 \rightarrow y = \dots$
- c) $x = 0 \rightarrow y = \dots$
- d) $x = 2 \rightarrow y = \dots$
- e) $x = 7 \rightarrow y = \dots$

Through this activity, students are able to formulate set relations in the form of formal mathematics
(Formal knowledge)

If (x, y) expresses the equivalent of the member of the set X (x) to the member of the set Y (y) by a relation, express the relation $X \rightarrow Y$ in terms of the equation (Jika (x, y) menyatakan padanan anggota himpunan X (x) dengan anggota himpunan Y (y) oleh suatu relasi, nyatakan relasi $X \rightarrow Y$ dalam bentuk persamaan:)

15

- 1. $X \rightarrow Y : \{(-3, 3), (-2, 2), (-1, 1), (0, 0), (1, -1), (2, -2), (3, -3)\}$
 $y = \dots\dots\dots$
- 2. $X \rightarrow Y : \{(0, -4), (1, 1), (2, 6), (3, 11), (4, 16), (5, 21)\}$
 $y = \dots\dots\dots$
- 3. $X \rightarrow Y : \{(-2, 8), (-1, 5), (0, 2), (1, -1), (2, -4)\}$
 $y = \dots\dots\dots$
- 4. $X \rightarrow Y : \{(0, -1), (1, 0), (2, 3), (3, 8), (4, 15), (5, 24)\}$
 $y = \dots\dots\dots$

The Validity of Teacher Books, Student Books, and Worksheets

The aspects that are assessed in validating the teacher's and student's books are structure, material, and language. The average rating for three aspects of the Teacher's Book is 3.98 with valid criteria.

Table 5. Presents the Results of Expert Assessments on the Validity Aspects of the Teacher's Book

No.	Aspects	<i>It</i>	Criteria
1	Teacher's books structure	4,16	Highly Valid
2	Material	4	Valid
3	Language	3,77	Valid
	Vs	3,98	Valid

The average assessment of three aspects of the Student Book is 3.97 with valid criteria.

Table 6. Presents the Results of Expert Assessments on the Validity Aspects of the Teacher's Book

No.	Aspects	<i>It</i>	Criteria
1	Student's books structure	4,25	Highly Valid
2	Material	4	Valid
3	Language	3,66	Valid
	Vs	3,97	Valid

The aspects assessed on the worksheets are content and language. The average assessment of two aspects on the worksheets is 3.75 with valid criteria.

Table 7. Presents the Results of Expert Assessments on the Validity Aspects of the Student's Worksheets

No.	Aspects	<i>It</i>	Criteria
1	Content	3,75	Valid
2	Language	3,75	Valid
	Vs	3,75	Valid

Overall, acquired learning device with a valid category and can be used after minor revisions. Qualitative assessments and revisions of teacher books, student books, and student worksheets include adjustments with revisions to the lesson plans. The adjustment in question is to present teaching materials with the RME activity level: 1) situation; 2) model of; 3) model for; 4) formal knowledge. Based on the validation results above, improvements were made and continued with the trial phase. The testing of the device was carried out in class VIII4 for four meetings.

2. Practical Learning Tools

The practicality of the device is seen from the feasibility of learning, teacher responses, and students at the trial stage. Learning implementation is obtained from observing learning activities at four meetings. The learning used the RME approach using the STAD type cooperative learning model. The observations obtained at the first meeting of learning 91.65% accomplished. The second meeting 100% done learning, learning third meeting 100% successful, and the fourth meeting of the learning 95.82% accomplished. Overall adherence to the learning gained 96.86% and is considered to have learned by using learning tools developed performing well.

Teacher's response to the lesson plan shows that on average 82% positive response assessment aspects of lesson plan by two teachers.

Table 8. Presents the Results of Teacher's Response to the Lesson Plan

No.	Aspects	Positive response
1	Formulation of learning objectives	84,00%
2	Content	80,00%
3	Language	82,00%
4	Time allocation	82,00%
Average		82,00%

As for the response to the teacher's book, it was found that 81% of the assessment aspects were responded positively by two teachers.

Table 9. Presents the Results of Teacher's Response to the Teacher's Book

No.	Aspects	Positive response
1	Teacher's book structure	82,00%
2	Material writing organization	78,00%
3	Language	83,00%
Average		81,00%

The response of students to student books was obtained 83.2% of students who gave positive responses to student books and 82.4% of students who gave positive responses to student worksheets.

Table 10. Presents the Response of Students to Student Books

No	Aspects and Indicator	Responds	
		Positive	Negative
1	Material	84,0%	16,0%
2	Language	88,0%	12,0%
3	Picture	80,0%	20,0%
4	Notation	80,0%	20,0%
5	Display	84,0%	16,0%
Rata-rata		83,2%	16,8%

Table 11. Presents the Response of Students to Student Worksheet

No	Aspects and Indicator	Responds	
		Positive	Negative
1	Language	84,0%	16,0%
2	Picture	80,0%	20,0%
3	Notation	84,0%	16,0%
4	Display	80,0%	20,0%
5	Time allocation of activity	84,0%	16,0%
Rata-rata		82,4%	17,6%

From the response data, ¹ it can be concluded that in general the responses of teachers and students to learning tools are positive. This shows that students are interested and satisfied with student books and worksheets because it facilitates their understanding of learning. These results are also consistent with Nababan's research which showed a positive response from students when learning using RME-based learning tools (Nababan, 2017). Therefore, learning activities are carried out well and get a positive response, the learning tool is considered to meet the criteria of practicality.

⁶ 3. Effectiveness of Learning Tools

The effectiveness of the tool is seen from the learning outcomes achieved by students after participating in learning with the tools developed. The learning outcomes of students obtained a classical average value of 74.2. There are 12% of students who do not meet the minimum learning completeness and the remaining 88% meet the minimum learning completeness. From these results, the learning tool is considered effective. The effectiveness of learning tools is also influenced by the success of teachers in teaching mathematics. The ability of mathematics teachers in managing learning activities, having

knowledge and skills about mathematics and RME and applying them in the learning process can make mathematics learning meaningful for students (Fauzi & Waluya, 2018). Other related research also showed that the development of learning tools using the RME approach meets the criteria for effectiveness. This is because RME-based learning refers to a constructivism philosophy that constructs knowledge from experience (Nababan, 2017). Students are invited to think exploratively rather than procedurally by the learning process using the RME approach. Therefore, learning using RME is more effective than ordinary mathematics learning.

CONCLUSION

This research produces mathematics learning tools for junior high school on relation and function materials using the Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) approach. The development of learning tools with the RME approach adapts the Plomp model development procedure, the investigation phase, the prototype phase and the assessment phase. The resulting tools include lesson plan, student books, teacher books, and worksheets based on the RME approach. The results of validation and testing of learning tools show that the tools meet the criteria of learning tools developed referring to valid, practical, and effective. Realistic mathematics learning tools for other topics need to be designed so that realistic mathematics learning tools are deeper and more diverse.

REFERENCES

THE DEVELOPMENT OF MATHEMATICS LEARNING TOOLS USING REALISTIC MATHEMATICS EDUCATION APPROACH TO RELATIONS AND FUNCTIONS

ORIGINALITY REPORT

18%

SIMILARITY INDEX

11%

INTERNET SOURCES

12%

PUBLICATIONS

4%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	moam.info Internet Source	3%
2	Submitted to Daegu Gyeongbuk Institute of Science and Technology Student Paper	2%
3	Nesfitri Legahati, Armiami. "Preliminary research development of professional competency-based mathematics learning devices in the culinary expertise program", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019 Publication	1%
4	Mauliana, M Ikhsan, M Subianto. "Development of Learning Tool with Contextual Teaching and Learning (CTL) Approach to Improve Student Mathematical Connection Ability", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018 Publication	1%
5	K Aini, Hobri, A C Prihandoko, D Yuniar, A K A	1%

Faozi, Asmoni. "The students' mathematical communication skill on caring community-based learning cycle 5E", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020

Publication

6

E Gradini, F Bahri. "Developing mathematics teaching tool using ELPISA", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018

Publication

1%

7

A Asmar, A Arnellis, R Sriningsih. "Implementation of the 21st Century Skills to High Order Thinking Students' Mathematical in Senior High School Padang", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020

Publication

1%

8

M. Rusdi T, Hamsiah Djafar, Nur Khalisah Latuconsina, Idah Suaidah, Megita Dwi Pamungkas, Andi Kusumayanti. "The Learning Tools development of Rectangular and Square Material Oriented toward the Learning Cooperative Setting and included Bruner Theory on Students", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020

Publication

1%

9

A Husaini, H Syarifuddin. "The Validity Of Learning Devices Of Cooperative Models Based On Blended Learning To Improve Learning

1%

Outcomes", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020

Publication

-
- | | | |
|----|--|-----|
| 10 | repository.radenintan.ac.id
Internet Source | 1% |
| 11 | Shulha Kynanda Putri, Hasratuddin Hasratuddin, Edi Syahputra. "Development of Learning Devices Based on Realistic Mathematics Education to Improve Students' Spatial Ability and Motivation", International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education, 2019
Publication | <1% |
| 12 | zombiedoc.com
Internet Source | <1% |
| 13 | digilib.uinsby.ac.id
Internet Source | <1% |
| 14 | www.atlantis-press.com
Internet Source | <1% |
| 15 | R Wahyuni, S Prabawanto, T Herman. "Students' difficulties in solving algebra task in middle school", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020
Publication | <1% |
| 16 | Sudarmiani, A Trilaksana. "Implementation of the scientific approach on social studies learning | <1% |
-

based on local wisdom through advanced organizer learning models of the students of junior high schools", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020

Publication

17

Khairina, M Ikhsan, Suhartati. " The validity of vector analysis module using ", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018

Publication

<1%

18

anzdoc.com

Internet Source

<1%

19

Advanced mathematics 1, 1980.

Publication

<1%

20

Aljufri Mardi Aljufri, Sehatta Seragih, Yenita Roza. "Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran Menggunakan Pendekatan Pendidikan Matematika Realistik untuk Peserta Didik Kelas IV", Jurnal Cendekia : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika, 2020

Publication

<1%

21

www.dosenpendidikan.co.id

Internet Source

<1%

22

download.atlantis-press.com

Internet Source

<1%

23

N Erawati, D Permana. "The Development Mathematics Device with Problem Based

<1%

Learning Model to Increase Mathematical Problem Solving Ability", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020

Publication

24	Th Laurens, Ch. M. Laamena. "Development of mathematical learning devices based on multimedia on circle materials of grade eighth of junior high school", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020	<1%
----	---	-----

Publication

25	www.scribd.com	<1%
----	--	-----

Internet Source

26	Submitted to Universitas Negeri Surabaya The State University of Surabaya	<1%
----	---	-----

Student Paper

27	media.neliti.com	<1%
----	--	-----

Internet Source

28	link.springer.com	<1%
----	--	-----

Internet Source

29	W Doli, A Armiami. "Development of Mathematics Learning Tools Based on Realistic Mathematics Education for Vocational High School Students", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020	<1%
----	--	-----

Publication

30	garuda.ristekbrin.go.id	<1%
----	--	-----

Internet Source

31	e-journal.my.id Internet Source	<1%
32	m.everythingmaths.co.za Internet Source	<1%
33	M A Subhi, N Nurjanah, U Kosasih, S A Rahman. "Design of distance lectures in mathematics education with the utilization of the integration of Zoom and YouTube application", <i>Journal of Physics: Conference Series</i> , 2020 Publication	<1%
34	research-report.umm.ac.id Internet Source	<1%
35	Elsa Farapatana, Yunita Septriana Anwar, Abdillah Abdillah. "Pengembangan Komik Matematika dengan Metode Preview, Question, Read, Reflect, Recite, & Review (PQ4R) Pada Materi Lingkaran Kelas VIII SMP", <i>JTAM Jurnal Teori dan Aplikasi Matematika</i> , 2019 Publication	<1%
36	A Hikmatila, A Armianti. "Development of learning devices based on the class of realistic nursing skills training programs in mathematics, teaching x", <i>Journal of Physics: Conference Series</i> , 2020 Publication	<1%
37	D Kurniawan, A Armianti, A Fauzan, Y Yerizon. "Design of Mathematics Learning Devices	<1%

Based On Professional Competency On Video Audio Engineering Expert Programs", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020

Publication

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography Off