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**Abstract**

*This research endeavors to build an egalitarian social order that emphasizes human equality and eradicates all forms of discrimination based on identities created by the current social system, including race, religion, ethnicity, skin color, nationality, etc. This is done because research into issues of citizen equality within Muslim political systems is an interesting part of discourse regarding national diversity and ideological issues in governance.*

*Using an empirical approach, this study analyzes the involvement of non-Muslims in practical politics and government in Muslim-majority areas such as Makassar.The involvement of non-Muslims in practical politics is key to the creation of a democratic system of governance. This study contributes an explanation as to why the recognition of non-Muslims' political rights must not solely be viewed in terms of theory, but also in terms of normative and practical structures, as Islam promotes democratic governance in which equality, justice, and tolerance are realized well and no political discrimination is committed against citizens. Through this, the purity of Islam can remain undistorted and the gap between the practiced reality among this Muslim-majority population and fundamental Islamic teachings can be bridged.*
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**فكرة المسلمين تجاه الحقوق السياسية لغير المسلمين في وسط الأغلبية المسلمة**

**في مكاسر**

بقلم: عثمان جعفر

الملخص

هذا البحث هو محاولة لبناء نظام المجتمع المساوي المستمد من مؤكدات المساواة الإنسانية وإزالة جميع أشكال التمييز على أساس الهويات التي أنشأها النظام الاجتماعي، مثل الاختلافات العرقية، والدينية، والقبلية، واللونية، والطائفية، والجنسية وغيرها. لأن قضية مساواة المواطنين في النظام السياسي الإسلامي من الدراسات المثيرة للاهتمام في حديث مواجهة تعدد المواطنين والقضايا الأيديولوجية في الحكومة.

وباستخدام المنهج التجريبي، هذه الدراسة تحلل مشاركة غير المسلمين في السياسة العملية في الحكومة التي أغلبية سكانها من المسلمين كمدينة مكاسر. مشاركة غير المسلمين في السياسة العملية هي طريقة لبناء الحكومة الديمقراطية. ومن الناحية النظرية، هذه الدراسة تبين أن الاعتراف بالحقوق السياسية لغير المسلمين لا يفهم على المستوى النظري فقط، بل على المستوى المعياري والعملي أيضا؛ لأن الإسلام يحمل الحكومة الديمقراطية التي تتراكم على مبادئ المساواة، والعدالة، والتسامح من مطالب الحداثة اليوم ستتمّ بشكل جيد عندما لا تمارس التمييز السياسي ضد مواطنيها. ولذلك، نقاء التعليم الإسلامي لم يكن مشوهًا ولكن الفجوة الموجودة بين واقع المجتمع الإسلاميمع الفكرة الأساسية للتعليم الإسلامي يمكن سدّها.
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**Abstrak**

*Penelitian ini merupakan ikhtiar untuk membangun suatu tatanan masyarakat egaliter yang diderivasi dari suatu penegasan tentang kesetaraan manusia dan menghapus segala bentuk diskriminasi berdasarkan identitas-identitas yang diciptakan oleh sistem sosial, seperti, perbedaan ras, agama, suku, warna kulit, golongan, kebangsaan dan sebagainya. Sebab isu kesetaraan warga negara dalam system politik Islam menjadi kajian menarik dalam wacana menghadapi kemajemukan warga negara dan isu-isu ideologis dalam sebuah pemerintahan.*

*Dengan menggunakan pendekatan empiris, studi ini menganalisis keterlibatan non-muslim dalam politik praktis pada pemerintahan yang mayoritas penduduknya beragama Islam seperti di Makassar. Adanya keterlibatan non-muslim dalam politik praktis menjadi kunci dalam membangun sebuah pemerintahan yang demokratis. Secara teoritis, studi ini memberikan penjelasan bahwa pengakuan terhadap hak-hak politik non-muslim tidak hanya dipahami pada tataran teoritisnya, tetapi juga pada tataran normative dan praktisnya sebab Islam yang mengusung pemerintahan demokrasi yang bertumpuk pada prinsip-prinsip persamaan, keadilan dan toleransi yang menjadi tuntutan modernitas saat ini dapat berjalan dengan baik, manakala tidak melakukan diskriminasi politik terhadap warga negaranya. Sehingga kemurnian ajaran Islam tidak mengalami distorsi, tetapi kesenjangan yang ada antara realitas masyarakat Islam dengan ide dasar ajaran Islam dapat dijembatani.*
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1. **INTRODUCTION**

Discussion of citizens' political rights is an interesting object for research, particularly in the current era of democratization. This issue is present amidst diverse claims regarding the correctness, appropriateness, and absolute obeisance of citizens who differ in the religions they embrace and their political interests. Some citizens claim to have the greatest rights, to be the most correct and appropriate to lead, while denying others these rights owing to a perceived lack of correctness and appropriateness. These claims have led to a belief, or doctrine even, that political leadership is solely the right of certain citizens, with other citizens not sharing this right.

It is difficult to transform this claim, even though some persons continue to recognize the State as offering equal political rights to all citizens, both Muslim and non-Muslim. These political rights are guaranteed and recognized, both in legislation and in the constitution, as stemming from citizenship.[[1]](#footnote-1) These political rights include the right to participate in campaigns for and be elected to the government, be it directly or indirectly through freely chosen representatives, as well as the right to voice opinions freely and recommendations as a form of social control over the government.[[2]](#footnote-2)

These rights are fundamental ones that should be enjoyed by all individuals and groups, for without these rights they could not function as perfect human beings. Furthermore, and more substantively, these political rights simultaneously embody rights and obligations,[[3]](#footnote-3)as at a certain level these rights are obligatory and required for various individuals.[[4]](#footnote-4)If these rights are not practiced in making policy and legislation, these rights might be limited and even considered inapplicable to all persons except for those who fulfill certain non-citizenship criteria.

In the context of democracy, understood here as governance of the people, the greatest power is held by the people. It may be practiced by the people directly, or it may be practiced through a system of representation, one that depends on elections that are held periodically and do not distinguish between or privilege certain groups based on their religion or heritage.

This principle of non-discrimination based on class is also found in Islam. The Qur'an recognizes that humans, both Muslims and non-Muslims, are equal, as they all originate from God.[[5]](#footnote-5)No person is more special or of greater quality than another, except in terms of that person's piety,[[6]](#footnote-6)as reflected in his or her attitudes and charity work.[[7]](#footnote-7)This principle of equality is fundamental to the socio-political ethics developed by Islam as well as the leadership of the Prophet and the four caliphs who followed him. This principle of egalitarianism is clearly one of the most important elements of Islamic socio-political doctrine, and this democratic value has drawn considerable attention to Islam in the past. As such, it offers potential guidelines for Muslims in modern society.[[8]](#footnote-8)

This may be opposed to the attitudes, behaviors, and perspectives that have developed among some residents of Makassar, Indonesia, who have positioned the non-Muslim minority as lacking the specific political right of becoming political leaders in Muslim-majority areas. Some have even termed these non-Muslims *dzimmi*(ذمي), citizens of conquered lands, second-class citizens beneath Muslims. This view has been influenced by classical Islamic jurisprudence classical Islamic jurisprudence (أصولالفقه‎‎) as well as the views of Muslim jurors such as Sayyid Quthub, Sayyid Hawa, and Abu A’la Al-Maududi, who proscribed similar prohibitions.[[9]](#footnote-9)

Wisdom is required to reconstruct existing typologies and reexamine various interpretations (*ijtihad*, iاجتهاد)as linked to political issues and the position of non-Muslim minority populations in Muslim-majority areas. In this manner, this issue may be examined proportionally, with all distortions of Islamic doctrine clarified. Only then will the gap between the practiced reality of Muslim society and the fundamental teachings of Islam be bridged.

This study examines the issue of political leadership. How may a non-Muslim, for example, become the head of a Muslim-majority state or political leader of a Muslim-majority area as a realization of Islam's high regard for egalitarian principles. This issue will be analyzed empirically and theoretically, involving several research variables, namely: how far do the political rights of non-Muslims in Muslim-majority areas extend; how do they influence government efficiency; and what are the attitudes and views of Muslims in Makassar regarding the political rights of the non-Muslim minority.

1. **Theoretical Framework**

This analysis of non-Muslims' political rights in Muslim-majority areas is based on the rational conceptual framework constructed through the Qur'an and Sunnah, which serve as the conceptual basis for life management, including the management of the socio-political affairs at the basis of political systems and legislations.

As explained by Louis Marlow, the Qur’an explores principles of equality.[[10]](#footnote-10)It emphasizes both the oneness of God as well as the equality of all persons created by Him.[[11]](#footnote-11)In principle, Marlow continues, monotheist religions consider their followers to be brethren, even when they originate from different areas. Such a view cannot be separated from the belief that all humans are equal in God's eyes.[[12]](#footnote-12)Religious and moral egalitarianism can be found in the Qur'an as well as the holy texts of other religions. The Qur'an, as with previous holy books, teaches much about morality and its use in social life, but requires humanity to apply this morality in life.[[13]](#footnote-13)

In this, humans are positions as the "managers" or "rulers" on Earth, without any distinction being made between them. The management and rule involves various social and political aspects. It would be naïve to assume that this management and rule is entrusted solely to certain persons or people from a certain religion.[[14]](#footnote-14)As such, the principle of leadership has become the basis for modern political theory, which positions all people as enjoying the same political right to "manage" and rule over the earth, or, to use a more popular term, to become head of state. Anyone, Muslim or non-Muslim, may become a leader as long as he or she has the necessary quality and ability to promote prosperity and political stability.[[15]](#footnote-15)Such a view is reinforced by Ibn Taimiyah's statement that a just leader, despite not being Muslim, is better than ajust leader who is Muslim.[[16]](#footnote-16)

Abdullah Ahmed An-Na’im holds that, because governance gives the greatest emphasis to citizenship,there is no longer any need to differentiate between Muslim and non-Muslim citizens in protecting the political rights related to government and governance.[[17]](#footnote-17)Because all citizens are the same and equal in participatory politics, it is inappropriate for a prohibition of non-Muslim leadership to be based on Verse 28 of the Sura Ali Imran, because this verse was revealed when relations between Muslims and non-Muslims were colored by enmity, rather than an atmosphere of mutual support and benefit. Owing to the contemporary situation, it is understandable that this verse forbids Muslims from establishing political alliances with non-Muslims. However, at the same time, "Allah does not forbid you from those who do not fight you because of religion and do not expel you from your homes - from being righteous toward them and acting justly toward them. Indeed, Allah loves those who act justly."[[18]](#footnote-18)

Acting kindly and justly, in this context, includes recognizing the political rights of non-Muslims and avoiding any discrimination against citizens from different religious backgrounds in social life. Based on this, Syekh Syaukat Hussain views Islam as not recognizing any dichotomy between worldly and spiritual life. At its essence, Islam offers a religio-political system, in which the State is but one manner in which spiritual goals can be realized by humanity. Humanity's worldly and spiritual lives are intended to be mutually complementary and to be realized concurrently. Worldly activities are not limited to Muslims; they may be undertaken by anyone, including non-Muslims.[[19]](#footnote-19)

As such, the political rights of citizens are a manifestation of the human desire to participate in governance. In the literature of political rights, such rights are frequently considered fundamental human rights.[[20]](#footnote-20)The basis of all human rights is the assumption that all people must have equal opportunity to develop in accordance with their own talents and dreams.[[21]](#footnote-21)This includes as leaders, as persons who must bear the burden of responsibility. As humanity's responsibilitymust begin with freedom of choice, freedom is an inseparable part of this responsibility. Furthermore, freedom can also be understood as a way of honoring humans' dignity as servants of God and as leaders, managers, and rulers on Earth.[[22]](#footnote-22)

This principle is lies at the root of the political theory in Indonesia, a country with a plural population, that there are no issues with non-Muslim involvement in governance. This view, aside from being part of human rights, is also manifested in the spirit and passion of the 1945 constitution,[[23]](#footnote-23)as well as the theory of "constitution" in which all citizens are the same and enjoy equal political rights, in this case the political right to participate in government and governance.[[24]](#footnote-24)Political rights are to be enjoyed by all persons, regardless of creed, for without these rights they cannot realize their functions as perfect human beings. These rights include freedom of expression, without any interference; freedom of association and assembly; the right to participate in the governance of one's country, be it directly or indirectly through freely elected representatives; and the right to equal opportunity to occupy a government position.[[25]](#footnote-25)

Philosophically, the basis for good governance must be free of discriminative, sectarian, or tyrannical political treatment and behavior. The system must be democratic and constitutional, with the people—not excepting non-Muslims—determining the processes through which the government acts. If they are capable of freeing themselves of the shackles of labels and the class distinctions and privileges attached to them, a constitutional government may be realized. It should include at least three main elements.[[26]](#footnote-26)First,it must be constitutional*.*The government must be built upon a constitution embodying the people's agreement to be ruled through a framework of rights and obligations determined by the people, including non-Muslim citizens.

Second, it must beparticipatory. The political system, including the institutional formation of the government structure, is participatory. Leadership and policymaking are rooted in public participation, as realized through elections. Muslims can use their own creativity, based on Islamic guidelines and the precedent of the prophets, to institutionalize and improve various processes. In Islam, this participatory aspect is realized through a process called *syura* (شورى.). Third,it must offer accountability. This point is an essential corollary of the constitutional/participatory system. Leaders and authority-holders are selected by the people, and as such they must be accountable to the people.

The above perspective meshes with the concept of nationhood and the nation state, in which all citizens are the same and equal before the law, without differentiating them based on their religion. Muslims and non-Muslims are equal in governance. Presently, this understanding of nationhood has driven modern society to place greater emphasis on the egalitarian concepts of tolerance, justice, and democracy, through which citizens' political rights can be truly realized in social, political, and national life.

1. **Empirical Findings on-Muslims' Involvement in Practical Politics in Makassar**

To investigate the above issue, the author conducted field research, using a purposive approach to identify 80 informants from the non–Muslim community, Islamic civil organizations, and Islamic political parties that are proactive in practical politics. Data collection was conducted using the techniques of observation, interviews, and literature review. Using a qualitative analysis with naturalistic tendencies as well as a phenomenological approach, it was concluded that non-Muslims enjoy the same political rights as Muslims in managing their social lives, including governance. These political rights include the right to participate in and be selected for governance, be it directly or indirectly through freely elected representatives; as well as the right to voice views, opinions, and recommendations as a form of social control of the government.[[27]](#footnote-27)These rights are fundamental ones that should be possessed by all individuals and groups, for without these rights nobody could function as a perfect person.

The main principal in fulfilling the rights of non-Muslims is their involvement, be it direct or through representatives, in all political policymaking and decision-making processes as part of the social contract regarding governance and control, because it is normatively recognized that non-Muslim citizens, working together with Muslim citizens, had an integral role in ensuring the independence of the nation. As such, they cannot be forced outside of the political arena or forbidden from promoting their own political interests or those of the community at large. This is one basis for egalitarianism and equal treatment of citizens, without any consideration of their religious backgrounds or faiths, and legislation has offered an open door for non-Muslim citizens on the stage of practical politics.

Field data indicates that, in the 2014 regional elections, 915,094 of Makassar's 1,223,540 residents fulfilled the criteria outlined by Article 13 of Law No. 12/2003 regarding General Elections, namely being 17 years of age or having already married.[[28]](#footnote-28)This number of potential voters includes non-Muslims. This indicates that non-Muslims possess political rights and have practiced them in recent years through the elections, both as voters and as candidates. The non-Muslim community has represented itself through five legislative members in the national parliament, regional parliament, and the Regional Representative Council. These representatives are backed by several nationalistic political parties: the Prosperous Peace Party (Partai Damai Sejahtera, PDS), Party of the Service Society (Partai Golongan Karya, GOLKAR), Indonesian Democratic Party of Struggle (Partai Demokrasi Indonesia Perjuangan, PDI–P), Indonesian Justice and Unity Party (Partai Keadilan dan Persatuan Indonesia, PKPI),as well as the police/military. As such, they have a bargaining positionto negotiate political interests from various groups and political parties at different levels of government.

Empirical data thus indicates that the non-Muslim community's presence in the political arena affects the effectiveness of governance and politics. They are involved in political dynamics (i.e. change) at the social structure level and in the existing political institutions. They are, together with other politicians and the elected government, involved in policymaking decision and the formulation of regulations and laws applicable to social life. In this political situation and condition, there is thus competition between different political interests, creating even greater political dynamics that functionally leads to the democratic interactions expected of a modern government.

1. **Limitations on Non-Muslims' Involvement in Strategic Positions**

The question of non-Muslim leadership, as discussed above, embodies the question of whether or not non-Muslims have the political right to be elected or selected for political leadership positions (president, governor, mayor, etc.) in areas with a majority Muslim population. There are at least two views on this issue that have developed in the Muslim community. These are, first, the view that rejects non-Muslims' political right to become leaders in Muslim-majority areas or Muslim states, and second, the view that accepts or supports said political right.

*First* is the group that rejects non-Muslim leadership. This group refers to an ideological concept, arguing that, in States with Muslim ideology, non-Muslims lack the political rights to become government leaders and govern Muslim-majority populations. In an Indonesian context, including Makassar, no Islamic ideology lies behind the system of governance. However, as most of the population is Muslim, this group argues that non-Muslims still lack such a political right. This view is supported by Hizb ut-Tahrir and Wahdah Islamiyah.[[29]](#footnote-29)

According to these Islamic organizations, non-Muslims' political rights are limited solely to general citizen rights: the right to assemble, associate, and form political parties, the right to voice opinions as a form of social control, the right to receive fair and just service from the government, and the right to vote and be elected. This last right is limited solely to technical positions, such as Member of Parliament, Agency Chief, Office Chief, etc. These groups consider it acceptable for such positions to be allocated to both Muslims and non-Muslims, who can fulfill administrative and other technical tasks. However, these groups consider non-Muslims to lack the right to become president, governor, mayor, or regent.

These groups consider it acceptable for non-Muslims to occupy technical positions because they view non-Muslims as what classical Islamic jurisprudence terms *dhimmi*, persons bound in an agreement with a Muslim government. However, these non-Muslims' fundamental rights are still respected, and they are still protected and allowed to live freely, including in finance and in worship. The government may not force them to pray in a Muslim manner. Non-Muslims may worship, dress, eat, and marry in accordance with their own religions and faiths. However, they must still follow the rules implemented by the government through its legislation. The first group considers this part of an agreement between the government and the State's non-Muslim population.[[30]](#footnote-30)

*Second* is a group that accepts and recognizes non-Muslims' political rights as being equal to those of Muslims, including the right to be elected as public leaders and handle governance in Muslim-majority areas. This group argues that, owing to the situation in modern times, the concept of a State based in Islamic ideology is no longer relevant; countries, they argue, are now nation states, based on a shared nationality, rather than being based on religion. This understanding of nationality can be seen from the plurality of citizens, religions, cultures, customs, and tribes in modern states, such as Indonesia, and this both demands and guarantees that all citizens have equal rights (including political rights) and obligations, without any consideration of their religion or beliefs.[[31]](#footnote-31)Such a view is held by several Islamic organizations in Makassar, including Muhammadiyah, Nahdlatul Ulama, the Islamic Preachers' Council (Dewan Dakwah Islamiyah, DDI), and the Indonesian Institute of Islamic Dawah (Lembaga Dakwah Islam Indonesia, LDII), as well as Islamic political parties such as the United Development Party (Partai Persatuan Pembangunan, PPP), Reform Star Party (Partai Bintang Reformasi, PBR), Crescent Star Party (Partai Bulan Bintang, PBB), and Prosperous Justice Party (Partai Keadilan Sejahtera, PKS).

Likewise, within the Indonesian Constitution there is no requirement for the national political leader (i.e. the President of Indonesia) to be Muslim. As such, it can be understood that all Indonesian citizens, including non-Muslims, have the political right to be elected as a political leader. The fact that the Indonesian president is not required to be Muslim can be seen in Article 6, Paragraph (1) of the 1945 Constitution as well as Article 6 of the Law on Presidential and Vice-Presidential Elections (Law No. 23/2003), which explicitly defines the criteria all presidents must meet. As long as Article 6, Paragraph (1) of the 1945 Constitution and Article 6 of the Law on Presidential Elections are not amended or annulled, these criteria will continue to apply.[[32]](#footnote-32)Meanwhile, in Islamic jurisprudence, there is the principle of *istishab*(استصحاب,)a presumption that existing laws remain in effect until new laws change or annul them. As related to the issue of non-Muslims political rights, this can be understood to mean that, so long as the Indonesian Constitution does not require the Indonesian president to be Muslim, non-Muslims will enjoy the same political right as Muslims, namely the right to be elected to leadership positions.[[33]](#footnote-33)

In this context, it is important that Muslim citizens and non-Muslim citizens are considered equal before the State. The State cannot be subordinated into a single religion.[[34]](#footnote-34)It must be understood as separate from of any one religious group. Muslims are part of Indonesian society. Only in this manner can Muslim citizens open effective communications and interactions with the broader, pluralistic Indonesian society, and together shape a State and nation that is democratic, modern, and egalitarian, as realized through its civil society.

The Muslim community's shared views, shaped through the elites in Islamic-based organizations and political parties, have led to considerable polarization. This is unavoidable, given that it is linked to ideological issues that are seen as positioning non-Muslim citizens in a discriminative manner.

1. **Contextualizing Muslims' Political Thought: Giving Non-Muslims Strategic Positions and Space in Practical Politics**

Based on analysis of Muslims' political thoughts, as manifested in the two different groups discussed above, it appears that both intend to create an Islamic social order, in accordance with the doctrine of the Holy Qur’an and Sunnah. However, these groups' different interpretations said doctrine indicates an important distinction. The first group rejects non-Muslims having a specific political right, namely the right to become a political leader within a Muslim-majority area. This group uses a scripturalistic approach, emphasizing a literal and denotative understanding and interpretation of Islamic doctrine, as well as historically consecrated practice. This group considers doctrinal commands and prohibitions to be eternal, without any potential for human interference. In other words, scriptural commands and prohibitions are a divine scenario that has been realized since time immemorial. This group does not recognize the historical reality involved, nor does it contemplate the temporal distance between when membersacquired this knowledge and when the text was written, as well as the developments that occurred in this time frame.

This group considers doctrinal ordinances total and absolute. For them, no aspect of human life is without influence from said doctrine. As such, *ijtihad*must be limited solely to issues where existing doctrine offers no clear guidance or detailed regulations.

Owing to this understanding, the first group views precedents from early Islam to be wholly binding, meaning that said precedents are binding not only in their principles, but also in their details. This group views life in the early Islamic period, the time of the Prophet Muhammad and his companions, as an ideal that must forever be realized. As such, the *ijma’*(إجماع), or consensus on religious questions, reached by the Prophet's companions will continue to apply to Muslims until the end of time. This *ijma’*cannot be changed by any consensuses reached after the time of the Prophet. Such a view shows great appreciation for historical Islamic heritage and traditions, from the time of the *tābi’un* (التابعون), the generation born after the Prophet's death but contemporary to the companions, and the *tābi‘ al-Tābi‘un* (تابع التابعين‎‎), the generation born after the *tābi’un*. In this tradition, the Islamic thought passed through generations of scholars retains its authority.

According to Ysril Ihza Mahendra, the view held by this first group tends to consider pluralism negatively and pessimistically. Society tends to be viewed in "black and white", with Muslims who wholly believe and practice Islamic doctrine opposed to the *jahilliyah* (جاهلية), the "ignorant" who do not believe or practice this doctrine. Human history is viewed as a history of contestation between these two groups, as symbolized by the prophets and their opponents. With society so divided, *hikmah* (حكمة) or wisdom can never be gained from the *jahilliyah*.As such, this view is closed to potential adaptation and acculturation with other societies or their cultures. Humans are faced with only two choices: they may become *mumin* (مؤمن) "believers" or *kafir* (كافر) "unbelievers". It is these unbelievers, these non-Muslims, whom the first group consider to lack the political right to govern public interests, particularly in governments where most of the population is Muslim.[[35]](#footnote-35)

In accordance with this principle, the first group also maintains the concept of an ideological State as well as the concept of *dzimmi*, which has been inherited over the ages. For this group, the State must be based on religion. As a logical consequence, the leader of the State must be a caliph or use a similar title, and have two main duties: to keep the faith and govern worldly affairs. In religion, the caliph must lead Friday prayers as imam, serve as *Amir al-Haj* (أمير الحج, or leader of the hajj pilgrimage), and become *khatib* (خطيب, deliverer of sermons) on holidays such as Eid al-Fitr and Eid al-Adha. In politics, meanwhile, the caliph simultaneously holds three types of power: executive, legislative, and judiciary.[[36]](#footnote-36)As such, the caliph has significant power over both religious affairs and worldly (political) ones.[[37]](#footnote-37)The *dzimmi*, conversely, are considered second-class citizens and beneath Muslims. Owing to this position and status, in the first group's view they may not hold the political right to lead a Muslim-majority population.

The second group, meanwhile, supports or at least accepts non-Muslims' political rights, including the right to become political leaders and practice governance, be it at the central or municipal/regency level. This group attempts to interpret doctrine in a more actual and rational manner than the first group. The commands and prohibitions in Islamic doctrine, this group argues, should not be considered eternal. They may be contextualized in accordance with the dynamics of history and social reality, cannot be free of human intervention, and have not been realized since time immemorial

This group tends to interpret doctrine in a more elastic and flexible manner. In its interpretation, this group holds that, when it comes to social issues such as politics, Islamic doctrine only offers general guidelines that apply universally. As such, *ijtihad*must continuously be practiced, for through this interpretation the general guidelines of doctrine can be implemented more concretely in the context of a specific society, unique in place and time.

In accordance with its understanding of doctrine, this group considers early Islamic traditions, as practiced by the Prophet and his companions, particularly during the time of *Rashidun* (الخلفاء, rightly guided) caliphs, as only binding in their principles, rather than their specifics.[[38]](#footnote-38)

In general, this group bases its argument on society being dynamic rather than static. Society continues to change and develop over time, with no individual being capable of stopping its transformation. Likewise, though the essence of the principles regarding human behavior in society, such as normative understandings of good and evil, remain constant, these principles' specific understandings change over time. As such, the early precedent set in the time of the Prophet and his companions need not be followed in its specifics; the situation has changed. This change, Yusril writes,is "God's Path", and must be followed by His faithful. The history and traditions in early Islam—the generations of the companions, the *tabi‘un*, and the *tābi‘ al-Tābi‘un*—focused predominantly on the contemporary concerns and aspirations. As such, the traditional heritage of this period need not bind Muslims from subsequent generations.[[39]](#footnote-39)

This group tends to have a positive and optimistic attitude towards pluralism, which leads to openness and tolerance. *Hikmah*, the group argues, can be found anywhere on Earth, including among non-Muslim peoples and groups. Owing to this understanding of *hikmah*, this group tends to be more open to adapting and acculturating principles and lessons from other societies. The search for wisdom tends to be oriented towards solving concrete problems using a pragmatic and compromistic approach. In this manner, Islamic teachings can meet the challenges of modern times.[[40]](#footnote-40)

This group views states in the modern era as generally being based on a concept of nation, rather than religion. As such, the political power of government leaders is limited by law; in Indonesia, this means that government leaders only have executive power and may only hold office for five years before a new election is required. As such, the positions of imam during Friday prayers and *khatib* at the mosque—duties that, together with political duties, were central to the position of caliph in classical Islam—have since been filled by other Muslims, who are not head of state but still have the necessary capacity. While in the classic period heads of state held religious and political authority simultaneously, in modern times the head of state only holds political authority.

This group's understanding of *dzimmi* has also shifted. Previously, Muslims' socio-political conditions were oriented more towards conquest in the name of expanding their political power. Many non-Muslims thus lived in conquered lands and received *dzimmi* status, recognitionascitizens who were granted political protection by Muslim rulers. This socio-political condition has changed considerably since then. Muslims are presently more oriented towards building relations between different religious communities in an atmosphere of peace, tolerance, and equality, leading to life in pluralism.[[41]](#footnote-41)

The main shortcoming of this first group's view, which tends to be literal and denotative, is that it fails to address the complex issues that have emerged and developed in modern life. Meanwhile, the second group's view, which tends to be more liberal and contextual, offers effective solutions to the issues that have emerged and developed in modern life. However, this second group's approach may face strong opposition from radical Muslims, who do not believe the second approach to be based in Islamic tradition.

To bridge these two groups' views, their reasoning must be examined in the context of why non-Muslims are considered to lack the political right to become government leaders in Muslim majority areas. Second, verses regarding this political right should be understood holistically, not partially or separately. More specifically, verses of prohibition should be linked to or compromised by verses that allow political rights.

The first group's view, as explored above, is based on a prohibition for Muslims to select non-Muslims as political leaders in countries where Muslims represent the majority of the population, a prohibition itself based on the assumption that non-Muslims are enemies of Islam and Muslims. If this basis were eliminated, the prohibition would likewise be eliminated, in accordance with the principle *al-hukmu yaduru ma'a 'illatihi wujudan wa 'adaman* (the ability to apply or not apply a law depends on its basis).[[42]](#footnote-42)

In this context, the author disagrees with the first group, which is represented in Makassar by such Islamic organizations as Hizb ut-Tahrir and Wahdah Islamiyah, as well as scholars such as Sayyid Quthub, Sayyid Hawa, and Abu A’la Al-Maududi, who generalize all non-Muslims as evil and enemies of both Islam and Muslims and thus prohibit them from being selected as public and government leaders in Muslim-majority areas.

It must be recognized as historical fact that some non-Muslims committed wrongs towards Muslims or become enemies of Islam, such as the Quraysh polytheists in Mecca and the Jews of Medina, both of whom targeted the Prophet.However, it is rather inaccurate to use the wrongs perpetrated by non-Muslims against Islam and Muslims since the Prophet's time, such as in Baghdad, Andalusia, India, Spain, and Ethiopia (examples used by Sayyid Quthub and Sayyid Hawa) as the basis for generalizing that all non-Muslims will bring evils to Muslims and enmity to Islam when in power.As already recognized by Quthub, Negus—a Christian king of Ethiopia contemporary to the Prophet—was kind and friendly in welcoming Muslim emigrants from Mecca and greatly respected Islam.[[43]](#footnote-43)

This illustrates how both religious communities follow the religion of God, recognize His presence, and teach truth and morality. Events of five hundred years ago should be remembered to ensure friendlier and more humane relations. A prominent Christian figure stated that the water brought by Moses and Isa was refreshing, and as such King Negus had no reason to force Muslims from his lands because of their religion.[[44]](#footnote-44)

Such historical facts indicate that not all non-Muslims have the same attitudes and character, i.e. opposing and fighting Islam and Muslims. Among them, there are those who behave with honor and justice. As humans, non-Muslims may change their attitude towards Islam and treatment of Muslim. During the time of the Prophet and in the present day, there have been people who commit evil acts towards Muslims and oppose Islam. However, at the same time there have also been people who act with honor and tolerance. The evil need not be elected as leaders. It is sufficient to consider the kind and tolerant as political leaders.

The Prophet's command that his companions, who had suffered in Mecca, seek political refuge from Negus, the Christian King of Ethiopia who treated Muslims with kindness, may serve as a theological justification for allowing Muslims to elect non-Muslims—those who bear no ill-will towards Islam and its followers—as leaders in Muslim-majority areas.

The verses that forbid non-Muslims from selecting non-Muslim leaders for Muslim-majority areas can be understood to have been abrogated by verses permitting this, namely verses 7, 8, and 9 of the Sura Al-Mumtahina.

Linking the verses that prohibit Muslims from selecting non-Muslim leaders in Muslim-majority areas to the above-mentioned verses offers the understanding that this prohibition, as stated by Muhammad Abduh, is subject to the consideration of whether or not said non-Muslims have enmity for Islam and force Muslims from their homelands because of their faith. It is wholly forbidden (*haram*, حَرَام) to select non-Muslims who have enmity and hatred for Islam, and who treat Muslims arbitrarily, as the leader of Muslims.[[45]](#footnote-45)

From Verse 7 of the Sura Al-Mumtahina, it can be understood that the establishment of harmonious and caring relations between Muslims and the non-Muslims opposed to the Prophet, who drove him from his homeland, was greatly desired. Likewise, Verse 8 and 9 of this *sura* explain that God does not prohibit Muslims from behaving kindly and justly to non-Muslims who are not their enemies. The prohibition against selecting non-Muslims as leaders is limited to non-Muslims who oppose Islam and fight against Muslims solely for their religious teachings (i.e. for being Muslim), drive Muslims from their homelands, or assist others in doing so.

The prohibition against electing non-Muslims as leaders of Muslim-majority areas applies to non-Muslims who oppose Islam and battle against Muslims, as well as those non-Muslims who support them in their opposition. Aside from these two groups, non-Muslims may receive kind and just treatment from Muslims.[[46]](#footnote-46)This is the ideal tolerance taught by Islam and applied in governance and socio-political affairs. One form of kind and just treatment is giving and recognizing non-Muslims equal political rights to Muslims, including free access to practical politics and the ability to be selected as government or political leaders.

As such, verses 7, 8, and 9 of the Sura Al-Mumtahinaare valid *naskh* (نسخ), clarifying that the prohibition against electing non-Muslims as political and government leaders in Muslim-majority areas is based on their opposition to Islam and enmity for Muslims, rather thantheir different religion or faith.

Viewing the verses that prohibit non-Muslims from serving as leaders of Muslim-majority areas in conjunction with the verses that allow such leadership for non-Muslims who have no enmity for Islam or its followers and who honor and respect Muslims, it may be concluded that the prohibition only applies to non-Muslims who oppose Islam and do battle against Muslims.

From the above perspective, the influence of classic Islamic jurisprudence on this prohibition is apparent. In other words, the lack of recognition and acceptance for non-Muslims political right to be elected as government leaders in Muslim-majority areas was formulated when relations between different religious communities were full of conflict, as reflected in the revelation of the Qur'anic verses that prohibit the selection of a non-Muslim as a leader for Muslims. In the early years of Islam, Muslims focused predominantly on expanding their political influence, leading them to conquer non-Muslim areas. This led to the emergence of the *dzimmi* and political protections for non-Muslims living in Muslim-controlled areas. Presently, however, Muslims live in vastly different socio-political conditions. Relations between religious communities and groups are oriented more towards peace, tolerance, and equality, towards citizenship in pluralist societies.[[47]](#footnote-47)As such, the most relevant and appropriate approach for this modern pluralist society is that taken by Muslim figures in the Islamic organizations and political parties in Makassar, in which non-Muslims are considered to have political rights equal to Muslims, including the right to govern over Muslim-majority areas.

The public opinion so developed has rejected the classical Islamic jurisprudence that positioned non-Muslims as second-class citizens, beneath Muslims, and limited the political rights of non-Muslim citizens in Muslim-majority areas, as formulated by Sayyid Quthub, Sayyid Hawa, Abu A’la Al-Maududi, and similar scholars.

1. **Conclusion**

Based on the above discussion, it is apparent that non-Muslims enjoy the same political rights as Muslims within the government of Makassar, a majority Muslim region. Although, in the course of governance in Makassar, non-Muslims have yet to take roles as political leaders, this does not mean they lack the political rights to do so. Rather, this can be attributed mostly to socio-political and cultural conditions that do not support or benefit non-Muslims attempting to compete against the majority Muslim population.

These socio-political and cultural conditions are, first, the “political domain” not drawing the serious attention and interest of non-Muslim politicians. Non-Muslims, particularly the ethnic Chinese, tend to be attracted to alternative channels and other fields (particularly trade), because these are considered to offer a more promising future than politics. In other regions in Indonesia, the political arena is sometimes capable of drawing the serious attention and interest of non-Muslims, who compete against other religious groups (i.e. Muslims) as mainstream candidates. This can be seen, for example, in Bangka Belitung, where the regent is a non-Muslim of Chinese heritage, and in West Kalimantan, where the deputy governor is also a non-Muslim of Chinese heritage. Likewise, in the Jakarta Capital Region, which is just now entering its second round of gubernatorial elections, the incumbent governor Basuki Cahya Purnama is of Chinese descent. This may occur within majority Muslim areas because the general and regional elections, as democratic vessels for leadership selection, are very subjective.

Second, the religious ties between Muslims in Makassar are very strong and rooted in the region's culture. As such, non-Muslims have difficulty establishing political communications with members of the community, who tend to have fundamentalist and even radical religious understandings.

Third, it is possible that non-Muslim politicians in the region, both individually and as a group, lack the managerial quality and prestige enjoyed by Muslim politicians, and thus face severe obstacles in competing.This condition is exacerbated by their lack of effort to establish intense, friendly, and polite political communications with other political parties and religious majority. This is particularly problematic given that Muslim politicians have opened and maintained increasingly intensive political communications, thus drawing the populace's sympathy.

The socio-political and cultural conditions outlined above may be technical reasons why non-Muslim elites have yet to play significant political roles or successfully compete against Muslim politicians for strategic positions such as regional leader.
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