THE EFFECT OF USING CLUSTERING TECHNIQUE ON THE STUDENTS' WRITING SKILL IN DESCRIPTIVE TEXT AT SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL 10 MAKASSAR

Nurul Afika

Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar nurulafika 1997@gmail.com

Kaharuddin

Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar andi.kaharuddin@uin-alauddin.ac.id

Multazam Abubakar

Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar multazam.abubakar@uin-alauddin.ac.id

Muhammad Syahruddin Nawir

Universitas Islam Negeri Alauddin Makassar muhammad.syahruddin.nawir@uin-alauddin.ac.id

ABSTRACT

This research focuses on the students' writing skill in writing descriptive text. The purposes of this research were (1) To find out whether or not the clustering technique is able to improve the students' skill in writing descriptive text. (2) To find out to what extent clustering technique affects the development of the students' skill in writing descriptive text. In this research, the population was the first-grade of Senior High School 10 Makassar consisting of 15 students from X IIS 1. The method of this study was a mixed method, the design of this study was The Explanatory-Sequential (QUAN-qual) design where quantitative data were collected first. Pre-experimental method was applied in this research with a group pre-test and post-test design. The instruments used to collect data were writing test and questionnaire. The result of the research presented that the mean score of the pretest was 40.33. After the treatment, the mean score was increased to 71.00. The outcome of the data analysis revealed that there was a significant improvement of the students' skill in writing descriptive text after being taught using clustering technique. It can also be affected by the strength of clustering technique, which allows the students to generate their ideas in writing descriptive text. Subsequently, the result of one sample t-test where Sig. (2-tailed) = 0,000 < 0.05. Therefore, the null (Ho) is rejected and the alternative hypothesis (H1) is accepted. In addition, the qualitative result from the questionnaire showed that there were 4 factors affecting the development of students' writing skill in descriptive text, those are: content of teaching materials, method in the teaching process, activities in the teaching materials, task given in teaching materials. Based on the result analysis, the researcher concludes that using Clustering Technique was effective to improve the students' skill in writing descriptive text because the learning objective was achieved, that was the students were able to write English descriptive text appropriately in English by following the generic structure and language features.

Keywords: Clustering technique, English writing descriptive text

INTRODUCTION

n Indonesia, the government has made English as a compulsory subject as states in K13 which requires students to learn English at Junior and Senior High School. Learning English has a purpose to develop the students' English skills. The skills that meant to be learnt are listening, speaking, reading and writing which support each other.

Writing is one of the important skills in a daily life. Through writing, people can express feeling, thoughts, and idea. Moreover, writing can maintain our memory. Brown, (2001) claimed that writing is a thinking process. Process of putting the ideas down on paper to transform thoughts into words, to sharpen your main ideas, to give them structure and coherent organization.

In Senior High School, the skill of writing is taught by using genre-based approach. There are twelve genres that are taught to senior high school students, those are: narrative, descriptive, recount, report, procedure, news item, anecdote, explanation, discussion, exposition, information, and review (Husna, 2013). One of the genres is descriptive text. Descriptive text is a part of factual genres. Its social function is to describe a particular person, place or thing (Wadirman, Jahur and Djusman, 2008). Descriptive text is a text that has to be learnt by first grade students of Senior High School. It is stated in basic competence (KD) 3.7 of the 2013 curriculum syllabus English for First Grade in Senior High School 10 Makassar.

However, many students still find several problems in writing descriptive. According to Husna (2013), there are some difficulties that students face during writing the descriptive paragraph. First, the problem in developing the ideas. Second, the problem in organizing the ideas to write a descriptive text. Third, the students found difficulties in grammar. Fourth, some students are lack of vocabularies and they also have difficulties in developing their ideas related to their topic. Richards and Renandya (2002) state that writing is the most difficult skill for L2 learners to master. The difficulty lies not only in generating and organizing ideas, but also in translating these ideas into readable text.

Based on the researcher's preliminary observation at the first grade of Senior High School 10 Makassar, the researcher found that many students could not write an English descriptive paragraph well, the students were having difficulty in writing descriptive text, could not develop their ideas, had lack of vocabularies, and could not use grammar correctly, especially simple present tense which is one of the language features of descriptive text.

On the other hand, the students have studied English for three years in Junior High School and it is hoped that students have good English skills. However, the reality of the students' English skills is still low, especially writing skills. According to Kaharudddin and Ismail (2019), there are some factors cause students' low English proficiency, those are: (1) the quality of curriculum and teaching materials (how curricula and teaching materials are designed), (2) teachers' competencies (level of knowledge, expertise and experiences), (3) learning situations (number of students, room size, and learning facilities), (4) learners' attitudes (motivation, strategy, and learning styles and preferences). From all of the factors above, the researcher focused on the first point, that is curriculum and teaching material. Since there were many students having difficulty in writing English text, the researcher proposed a technique that can be used in teaching writing. The technique itself, is part of curriculum.

28 Elstic-IJ Vol. 2 No. 1 (2022) Afika, Kaharuddin, Abubakar, Nawir: The Effect of Using...

Based on the problem above, there are some techniques to solve of the teaching English writing. One of them is using clustering technique. Clustering is another prewriting technique. It is a visual way of showing how your ideas are connected using circles and lines (Blanchard and Root in Fitriany, 2018). Susilo (2018) adds that clustering technique is used in the prewriting stage of the writing process. In the pre writing process, students develop their ideas before they start to make paragraph. Sinaga (2017) stated that the advantages of clustering technique are: (1) helps the writers to see and to make a connection of ideas, to develop those ideas and to trace through the writer's mind until in some points arrive in certain concept, (2) helps students in gathering and developing ideas, and writing down in a certain concept.

Therefore, the researcher concluded that by applying this technique, it is hoped to be a reference for English teacher to be applied in the classroom to improve the students' writing skill. So that, the students can develop their ideas in writing.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Writing Descriptive Text

Wishon and Burks in Rinawati (2018) stated that description helps the reader, through his or her imagination, to visualize a scene or a person, or to understand a sensation or an emotion. Perhaps some learners prefer to describe their ideas of what they have seen while others from what they have heard (Rahim, 2019).

Descriptive text is a text to describe something, such as persons, places, or things. It uses simple present tense. The structure of descriptive text is:

- a. Identification: identifies the phenomenon to be described
- b. Description: describe parts, qualities, and characteristics

Clustering Technique

Clustering technique is developed by Gabrielle Lusser Rico. According to him in Ghufron (2014) clustering is a technique to divide some related ideas and write down in a blank of paper without evaluating the truth or value of ideas themselves. Albraigt and Langan (2019) stated that Clustering is a strategy that can be used to generate material for a paper. This technique is helpful for people who like to do their thinking in a visual way. In clustering, the writers can use lines, boxes, arrows, and circles to show relationships among the ideas and details that occur to them.

Using Clustering Technique in Teaching Writing Descriptive Text

Adopted from Fitriani (2018), here are the following steps in teaching writing descriptive text using clustering technique:

- a. Teacher explains about descriptive text include the kinds of descriptive text, generic structure and language features.
- b. Teacher introduces the concept of clustering technique to the students. The teacher can explain how clustering technique will help them to develop the ideas to write recount text.

- c. Teacher gives student a topic and asks them to discuss about the topic. The teacher can try to write down the example of developing ideas on the whiteboard as a model. Put the main topic in the middle of the whiteboard, circle it, and followed by related idea around the main topic. Connecting them by line or arrow.
- d. Teacher asks student to write the first draft on design of clustering technique samples that have been made on the whiteboard.
- e. After students already understand about clustering technique, teacher asks them to make their own clustering of the topic to make a descriptive text.

METHOD

The study employs mixed method research design. According to Mills & Gay (2016), Mixed methods research designs involve the collection, analysis, and "mixing" of quantitative and qualitative research designs to understand a research problem. The design of this study was the Explanatory-Sequential (QUAN-qual) design. In the explanatory sequential mixed methods design, quantitative data are collected first and are more heavily weighted than the qualitative data (Mills & Gay, 2016). They add that there are three advanced mix-method designs to further strengthen the previous design. Those are experimental study, social justice, multi stage evaluation. In this case, the researcher used experimental design. the researcher applied pre-Experimental research design in the form of one group pre-test and post-test design. Pre-test is a test administered to recognize the students' mastery before giving the treatment. The treatment is applied after pre-test given to the student. Post-test is a test administered to recognize the students. The students' mastery after giving the treatment. The purpose of this method is comparing the pre-test and post-test. If the score of post-test is higher than pre-test, it means that this treatment is effective.

Instruments

The research instruments of this research were writing test and questionnaire. Writing test was used to know how far the students' skill in writing before and after applying clustering technique. The form of the test was essay test. In this test the teacher gave some grade based on some aspects. These aspects are, content, mechanic, vocabulary, grammar, organization, and descriptive text. Questionnaire was used to know the opinion of the respondents about the technique used by the researcher. Foddy in Sari (2020) state that there are two types of questionnaires, they are open-ended and close-ended questionnaire. Close-ended questions limit the respondents to the set of alternatives being offered, while open-ended questions allow the respondents to express an opinion without being influenced by the researcher. In this research, the researcher used close-ended questions.

Procedures

This research conducted by using group of WhatsApp application, Zoom application and Google Form due to online learning system in this pandemic era.

Pre-test

30 Elstic-IJ Vol. 2 No. 1 (2022) Afika, Kaharuddin, Abubakar, Nawir: The Effect of Using...

The Pre-test conducted at the first meeting. This pre-test was to measure the students' skill in writing descriptive before treatment.

Treatment

Treatment was a way to know the effect of the clustering technique on teaching writing English descriptive text for students. After pre-test, the students were taught by using clustering technique. The treatment was carried out in three meetings. Each meeting spent 90 minutes.

Post-test

The Post-test was conducted at the last meeting. This post-test was applied to measure students' ability in writing descriptive text after treatment.

Questionnaire Distribution

There were several questions in the questionnaire of this research. The questionnaire used closeended question that contained several types of questions which consists of several items that provided limited number of choices, it contains the aspects of the materials, such as content, the language focus, the students' activities, and the supplementary materials.

Data Analysis

Writing Test

a. Scoring and Classifying students' descriptive text score by writing score rubric

According to Jacob, et al. (1981) there are several components presented in the analytical scoring rubric for writing, are: content, mechanic, vocabulary, grammar and organization. The researcher uses analytical scoring rubric to analyze the data related to the students' paragraph writing test of writing ability. The analytical rubric as follow:

Aspect	Score	Criteria	Weighting
		Excellent: Knowledgable and relevant to assigned topic.	
	4		
		Good: Some knowledge of subject, mostly relevant to topic but	
Content	3	lacks detail.	×30
		Fair: Limited knowledge of subject, inadequate development of	
	2	topic.	
		Poor: Does not show knowledge of subject, non substantive.	
	1		
		Excellent: few errors spelling, punctuation, capitalization,	
	4	paragraphing	
		Good: occasional errors of spelling, punctuation, capitalization,	
	3	paragraphing, but meaning not obscured.	
		Fair: frequent errors of spelling, punctuation, capitalization,	
	2	paragraphing poor hand writing, meaning confused or obscured.	
		Poor: no mastery of conventions, dominated by errors of spelling,	
Mechanic	1	punctuation, capitalization, paragraphing, hand writing illegible,	× 5
		or not enough to evaluate.	

Table 1. Writing Score Rubric

	Excellent: sophisticated range, effective word or idiom choice	
4	and usage word from mastery, appropriate register.	
	Good: adequate range, occasional error of word or idiom choice	
3	and usage, meaning confused or obscured.	
	Fair: limited range, frequent error of word or idiom choice and	×15
2	usage, meaning confused or obscured.	
	Poor: essentially translation, little knowledge of vocabulary,	
1	idioms, word form or not enough to evaluate.	
	Excellent: effective complex construction, few errors of	
4		
	pronoun, preposition.	
	Good: effective but simple construction, minor problem in a	
3	simple construction, several errors of agreement, tense number,	
	word order/function, articles, pronoun, preposition but meaning	
	seldom obscure	
	Fair: major problem in complex/ simple construction, frequent	×20
2	error of negation, agreement, tense number, word	-
	fragments, run-ons, deletions, meaning confused, or obscured.	
	Poor: virtually not mastery of sentences construction rules,	
1	domination by errors, does not communicate, or not enough to evaluate.	
	Excellent: fluent expression, ideas clearly stated / support, well	
4	organized, logical sequencing, cohesive and correct.	
	Good: Fluent expression, ideas clearly stated or supported.	
3		×20
	Fair: not fluent, ideas confused or disconnect, lacks logical,	
2	sequencing and development.	
	Poor: : doesn't to communicate, no organization or not enough	
1	to evaluate.	
	Excellent: Identification is complete and description are arranged	
4		
3		
	with proper connectives; It has occasional errors of simple	
	present tense.	
2	Fair: Identification is complete and description are arranged with	×10
2	•	×10
2	Fair: Identification is complete and description are arranged with proper connectives; It has frequent errors of simple present	×10
	3 2 1 4 3 2 2 1 4 3 2 1 3 2 1	4 and usage word from mastery, appropriate register. 3 and usage, meaning confused or obscured. 4 Fair: limited range, frequent error of word or idiom choice and 2 usage, meaning confused or obscured. Poor: essentially translation, little knowledge of vocabulary, idioms, word form or not enough to evaluate. 4 agreement, tense number, word order/function, articles, pronoun, preposition. 3 Good: effective but simple construction, finor problem in a 3 simple construction, several errors of agreement, tense number, word order/function, articles, pronoun, preposition but meaning seldom obscure 2 Fair: major problem in complex/ simple construction, frequent error of negation, agreement, tense number, word order/function, articles, pronoun, preposition and/ or fragments, run-ons, deletions, meaning confused, or obscured. 1 domination by errors, does not communicate, or not enough to evaluate. 2 Excellent: fluent expression, ideas clearly stated / support, well organized, logical sequencing, cohesive and correct. 3 Good: Fluent expression, ideas clearly stated or supported. 3 Fair: not fluent, ideas confused or disconnect, lacks logical, sequencing and development. 4 organized, logical sequencing, no organization or not enough to evaluate. 3 Fair: not fluent, ideas confused or disconnect, lacks logica

Adopted From Jacob, et al. (1981)

Score = 30.C + 5.M + 15.V+ 20.G + 20.O + 10.DT x 100						
400						
C: Content	O: organization					
M: Mechanic	DT: Descriptive Text					
G: Grammar						

The scores results gathered from the students' writing English descriptive text should finally be analyzed by using a scale system of writing classification rubric from a score range one (1) to one hundred (100). A scale system of writing classification rubric as shown in the following table:

Scale	Classification
75-100	Excellent to Very Good
50-74	Good to Average
25-49	Fair to Poor
1-24	Very Poor

Table 2. Writing Classification Rubric

b. SPSS

In the data analysis technique, the researcher used SPSS application to make the data is easy to analysis, SPSS standing for Statistical Package for the Social Sciences.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Using Clustering Technique in Improving Students' Skill to Write Descriptive Text at Senior High School 10 Makassar

English language teaching can be interpreted as the process of imparting skills and knowledge of English to a group of students whose first language is not English through the implementation of three main stages i.e. pre-teaching (planning), while teaching (giving instruction) as well as, post-teaching (measure learning outcomes) (Kaharuddin, 2018).

Planning

a. Lesson Plan

According to Kaharuddin and Yassi Hakim (2018) in Syllabus Design for English Language Teaching stated that a lesson plan in a language program has functions to identify the learning destination (objective of a lesson) and to mark out the route (activities for each stage of the lesson). It is an aid for a teacher to plan his teaching strategies effectively. Therefore, a well-prepared teacher should write down the details of each activity in the form of lesson plans which will guide the interactions between the teacher and students in implementing how detailed a lesson plan needs to be.

b. Teaching material of the research

The materials were employed to ensure that activities can be carried out as effective as possible to attain the planned objective in each lesson. There are several elements in teaching materials, they are:

• Pre-Teaching

In the pre-teaching, the researcher explained about the topic. In this case, the topic is about descriptive text. So, the researcher explained about the definition, generic structure and language features of descriptive text. Because of the pandemic era, this activity hold by using zoom application.

• While-Teaching

In the While-Teaching, the researcher explained about the technique which was used in the teaching and learning process. In this case, the technique is clustering technique.

• Post-Teaching

In the post-teaching, the researcher gave a task for the students to write descriptive text by using clustering technique. In this step, the students did their task through google form.

The Result of Students' Writing Score in Writing Descriptive Text

a. The Result of Pre-test

The Pre-Test was given to fifteen (15) students before teaching the students using clustering technique that is on December 17, 2020 in the X IIS 1 class by using google form. The scores range from 1-100 given to the students' writing skills covered several writing components i.e. content, mechanics, vocabulary, grammar, organization, and descriptive text. The resulted in the information shown in the following table:

No.	Respondent Number		W	riting C	Compon	ent		Score
	Number	С	M	v	G	0	DT	1
1.	STUDENT 001	2	2	2	2	1	2	45
2.	STUDENT 002	1	1	1	1	1	1	25
3.	STUDENT 003	2	2	2	2	2	2	50
4.	STUDENT 004	1	1	1	1	1	1	25
5.	STUDENT 005	1	1	2	1	1	1	30
6.	STUDENT 006	1	2	2	2	2	2	45
7.	STUDENT 007	1	2	2	2	2	2	45
8.	STUDENT 008	1	2	1	1	1	1	30
9.	STUDENT 009	3	3	3	3	3	3	75
10.	STUDENT 010	1	1	1	1	1	1	25
11.	STUDENT 011	2	2	2	2	2	2	50
12.	STUDENT 012	1	1	1	1	1	1	25
13.	STUDENT 013	1	2	2	1	1	1	40
14.	STUDENT 014	2	2	2	2	2	2	50
15.	STUDENT 015	1	2	2	2	2	2	45
Aver	age	21	26	26	24	22	24	605

Table 3. The Result of Pre-Test

b. The result of Post-test

The Post-Test was given to fifteen (15) students after teaching the students using clustering technique that is on January 21, 2021 in the X IIS 1 class by using google form. The resulted in the information shown in the following table:

No.	Respondent		w	riting C	ompor	ent		Total
	Number	С	м	v	G	0	DT	
1.	STUDENT 001	3	3	3	4	3	3	80
2.	STUDENT 002	2	3	3	3	2	3	65
3.	STUDENT 003	4	3	4	4	3	4	90
4.	STUDENT 004	1	1	1	2	1	2	40
5.	STUDENT 005	3	3	3	3	2	3	70
6.	STUDENT 006	2	3	3	3	3	2	65
7.	STUDENT 007	3	2	3	3	3	3	70
8.	STUDENT 008	3	3	3	3	3	3	75
9.	STUDENT 009	4	4	4	4	3	4	95
10.	STUDENT 010	2	2	2	2	2	2	50
11.	STUDENT 011	3	4	4	4	3	4	90
12.	STUDENT 012	1	1	2	2	1	1	40
13.	STUDENT 013	3	3	4	3	3	3	80
14.	STUDENT 014	3	4	4	3	3	3	85
15.	STUDENT 015	3	3	3	3	2	3	70
Aver	age	40	41	46	39	37	43	1065

Table 4. The Result of Post-Test

c. The Overall Results

The comparison of the gain scores between pre-test and post-test can be illustrated as follows:

Table 5. The classification of the results in pre-test and post test

CLASSIFICATION	PRE-TEST (Frequency)	POST-TEST (Frequency)
Excellent to Very Good	0	6
Good to Average	1	6
Fair to Poor	10	3
Very Poor	4	0
	Excellent to Very Good Good to Average Fair to Poor	(Frequency) Excellent to Very Good 0 Good to Average 1 Fair to Poor 10

The pre-test was also processed and analyzed by using SPSS which had eventually resulted in the following description:

Table 6. Descriptive Statistics									
	Ν	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation				
Pre-Test	15	25.00	75.00	40.3333	13.94718				
Post-Test	15	40.00	95.00	71.0000	17.13393				
Valid N (listwise)	15								

The overall results of the students from the pre-test achieved 40.33 as the average score and from the post-test achieved 71.00 as the average score for their writing skills which means that the quality of the students in post-test is better after getting treatment using clustering technique than the students in pre-test.

d. Assumption Test

According to Sari (2018), the normality tests are aimed at testing all hypothesis tests which test a null against alternative hypothesis. The result of normality tests deals with the statements of hypothesis test namely:

- Ho = the null hypothesis. The sample has normal distribution when significant value is greater than 0.05 (sig > 0.05).
- H1 = the alternative hypothesis. The sample is not normally distributed when significant value is less than 0.05 (sig < 0.05).

In this research, the data normality test post-test can be seen as follows:

	Kolm	ogorov-Smirne	ov ^a	Shapiro-Wilk						
	Statistic	Df	Sig.	Statistic	Df	Sig.				
Post-Test	.163	15	$.200^{*}$.927	15	.244				
*. This is a lo	*. This is a lower bound of the true significance.									
a. Lilliefors S	Significance Corr	rection								

Table 7: Test of Normality

On the results of the normality test of the post-test data it is known that the significance value is 0.200 using a significance level of 0.05. Means sign value. greater than α (0.200> 0.05) so it can be concluded that the post-test data is normally distributed.

e. T-Test

T-Test After finding out that the samples of the pre-test and post-test are normally distributed, the implication of the clustering technique on the students' writing skills are tested through the following hypothesis namely: If Sig. (2-tailed) < 0.05, Ho (null hypothesis) is rejected and H1 (alternative hypothesis) is accepted. If Sig. (2-tailed) > 0.05, Ho (the null hypothesis) is accepted and H1 (alternative hypothesis) is rejected.

In order to test the hypothesis, the one sample t-test is then used to tell us whether we should accept or reject the null hypothesis.

Table 8: One Samples T-Test											
	Test Value $= 0$										
	Т	Df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Difference	95% Confidence the Diffe						
					Lower	Upper					
Post-	16.04	14	.000	71.00000	61.5115	80.4885					
Test	9										

With regard to the table above, it is found out that SPSS output for one sample t-test, Sig. (2-tailed) = 0.000 < 0.05. This implies that the treatment has significant main effect on the students' writing skills achievement. The null (Ho) is therefore rejected and the alternative hypothesis (H1) is accepted.

Therefore, it can be concluded that there is significant difference between pre-test and post-test learning outcomes from the experimental class after the treatment, which means that there is an influence on the use of clustering technique on students' writing skill in descriptive text.

Factors Affecting the Students' Skills in Writing Descriptive Text

The questionnaires is adopted from Yassi and Kaharuddin (2018) who explain that the main purpose of giving the questionnaires is to review the advantages of implementing the instructional materials. The questionnaires used close-ended questions containing a series of questions to assess several aspects of the materials, such as content, the language focus, the activities, the supplementary materials. To evaluate those things, the students are required to fill in the given questionnaires by selecting one of seven optional categories i.e.:

- 1 = strongly disagree
- 2 = disagree
- 3 = slightly disagree
- 4 = neutral
- 5 = slightly agree
- 6 = agree
- 7 = strongly agree

Those parts can be described in the following tables:

Table 9: The Students' Response to The Teaching Materials' Content

No.	Statement			Freq	uency S	cale		
		7	6	5	4	3	2	1
1.	The Material can make Students able to make simple sentences.	33%	47%	7%	13%	0%	0%	0%
2.	The Material can make Students use To Be (am, is are) correctly.	47%	33%	13%	7%	0%	0%	0%
3.	The Material can make Students use pronoun correctly.	40%	47%	7%	13%	7%	0%	0%
4.	The Material can make Students differentiate the use of nominal and verbal in simple present tense.	20%	40%	27%	7%	7%	0%	0%
5.	The material can add the students' vocabulary	67%	20%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Source: Primary Data Processing

As shown at table 9, students were mostly interested in the teaching material's content because it can help them expand their vocabulary. It can be seen that at point 5 the students 67% strongly agree think that the material can add the students' vocabulary. The student 006 said, "*Materi yang disampaikan, secara tidak langsung menambah kosa kata saya. Kosa kata sangat penting, baik dalam menulis ataupun berbicara dalam bahasa Inggris*" ("The material presented, indirectly adds to my vocabulary. Vocabulary is very important, both in writing and speaking in English")

It is the same opinion as Genoveva et al (2016) who said that vocabulary is fundamental to the mastery of all language skills because words are the smallest element of a sentence.

No.	Statement	Frequency Scale						
		7	6	5	4	3	2	1
1.	Writing English descriptive text skills are practiced by using clustering technique during the lesson	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
2.	Using clustering technique is easy to generate your idea in writing English descriptive text	73%	27%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
3.	The students interested in learning English descriptive text with clustering technique	53%	40%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%
4.	Using clustering technique in learning English descriptive task is enjoyable	73%	27%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
5.	Using clustering technique is easy to classify the identification and description in writing English descriptive text	33%	40%	7%	20%	0%	0%	0%

 Table 10: The Students' Response to The Method in the Teaching Materials After Treatment

Source: Primary Data Processing

As shown at table 10, 73% of the students strongly agree that the clustering technique is simple to use in generating ideas for writing English descriptive text. The student 005 said, "Sebelum menuliskan sebuah teks descriptive, clustering technique memudahkan saya untuk memikirkan terlebih dahulu ideide apa saja yang harus saya tuliskan berdasarkan objek yang telah ditentukan" ("Before writing a descriptive text, the clustering technique makes it easier for me to think about what ideas I should write based on a predetermined object").

38 Elstic-IJ Vol. 2 No. 1 (2022) Afika, Kaharuddin, Abubakar, Nawir: The Effect of Using...

Similarly, Fitriani (2016) stated that this technique will help the students to organize their ideas before they develop in paragraph of descriptive writing.

The next is to see the students' responses to the activities in the teaching materials. Detailed information about it can be found in the following table:

No.	Statement	Frequency Scale						
		7	6	5	4	3	2	1
1.	The activities are interesting	53%	20%	7%	13%	0%	0%	0%
2.	The activities, exercises, tasks are aimed at developing your skill in writing English descriptive text	73%	27%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
3.	You provide for a real object use language in daily life	27%	40%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%
4.	The situations in writing English descriptive text activities are appropriate to the topic.	33%	40%	27%	0%	0%	0%	0%
5.	The activities and task provide for varying learning arrangements like pair practice and games.	7%	7%	33%	33%	20%	0%	0%
6.	The activities focus on writing English descriptive text.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
7.	The activities allow for creative use of language	70%	25%	0%	5%	0%	0%	0%
8.	The instructions for the activities are simple and clear	60%	20%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Table 11: The Students	' Response to Th	e Activities in The	e Teaching Materia	als After Treatment
------------------------	------------------	---------------------	--------------------	---------------------

Source: Primary Data Processing

As shown at table 11, 53% of the students strongly agree that the activities were interesting and 73% strongly agree that the activities, exercises, tasks were aimed at developing their skill in writing English descriptive text. The student 008 said, "aktivitas pembelajaran sangat menarik karena kita sebagai siswa juga dituntut untuk berperan aktif. Berperan aktif dalam proses belajar mengajar dapat meningkatkan motivasi belajar saya." ("Learning activities are very interesting because we as students are also required to play an active role. Taking an active role in the teaching and learning process can increase my learning motivation").

According to Sriyono in Syahputri (2018), activities are all activities that are carried out well physically or spiritually. Student activities during the teaching and learning process is one indicator of the student's desire to learn.

The next discussion is to find out the students' response to the task given in teaching materials after treatment. Detailed information about their responses can be seen in the following table:

Table 12: The Students' Response to The Task Given in Teaching Materials After Treatment

No.	Statement	Frequency Scale						
		7	6	5	4	3	2	1
1.	The students can write English descriptive text correctly	27 %	40%	20%	13%	0%	0%	0%
2.	The students can finish their task (write English descriptive text by using clustering technique) in short time.	0%	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Source: Primary Data Processing

From the data presented in the table above, 67% of the students could finish their task (write English descriptive text by using clustering technique) in short time. The student 002 said, "Dalam menuliskan paragraph deskriptif dengan menggunakan technique clustering diperlukan banyak waktu. Di sis lain, dalam menuliskan suatu paragraph descriptive pun khususnya dalam bahasa Inggris diperlukan banyak kosa kata, dan kosa kata yang saya ketahui masih cukup terbatas" ("Writing a descriptive paragraph using the clustering technique takes a lot of time. On the other hand, even writing a descriptive paragraph, especially in English, requires a lot of vocabulary, and the vocabulary I know is still limited."). It is in line with Adriati (2013) who suggested that using clustering technique can take longer time for the students to finish their writing, compared to their usual writing routine.

Based on the data obtained from the implementation of clustering technique in the writing class, it could be concluded that the clustering technique could help focus, organize, and sequence writing for the students so that they can think a topic and write what relate with the topic easily. Then they are helped to make writing text. Suheni (2011) states that Clustering technique is one effective way in pre-writing for the students to generate their ideas.

All in all, the result of analysis showed that clustering technique could be applied to improve the students' writing skill. This technique also stimulated the students' awareness on their own writing, so that they could produce the better writing product. During the implementation, the students showed

40 *Elstic-IJ Vol. 2 No. 1 (2022) Afika, Kaharuddin, Abubakar, Nawir: The Effect of Using...*

great enthusiastic and paid attention. Therefore, based on the improvement of students' writing score, the clustering technique effectively helps students of the first-grade at Senior High School 10 Makassar to improve their writing skill.

REFERENCES

- Adriati, Melia. (2013). *The Use of Clustering Technique in Teaching Writing Narrative Text (A Quasi Experimental Study of Tenth Graders In One Senior High School in Bandung)*. Journal of English and Education: English Education Study Program of Indonesia University of Education.
- Albright, Zoe L. and John Langan. (2019). *Exploring Writing: Paragraphs and Essays*. Fourth Edition. New York: Mc Graw Hill Education.
- Atmono, Haryadi D., et al. (2015). "An Analysis of Students' Writing Skill in Descriptive Text of the Second Year Students at SMPN 2 Bangun Purba." Jurnal Mahasiswa Prodi Bahasa Inggris UPP, vol. 1, no. 1.
- Brown, H. Douglas. (2001). *Teaching by Principle an Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy*. Second Edition. New York Longman.
- Fitriani, Nindy. (2018). Using Clustering Technique in Teaching Writing Recount Text at Tenth Grade Students in Sman 1 Gresik. Thesis: Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training Sunan Ampel State Islamic University Surabaya.
- Genoveva, Clary S. and Zainal A. (2016). *Improving Students Vocabulary in Writing Descriptive Text* by Using Word Search Game in SMP. Journal. English Education Study Program, Language and Art Education Department Teacher Training and Education Faculty of Tanjungpura University.
- Ghufron, M. Ali. (2014). The Implementation of Clustering Technique to Improve Students' Writing Skill in Descriptive Text of The Eight Graders of MTs. Darul Ulum Purwoasari Sukosewu Bojonegoro in The Academic Year of 2012/2013. Article. Faculty of Language and Art Education of IKIP PGRI Bojonegoro.
- Haryono, Tri. (2012). The Implementation Of Guiding Questions Technique in Teaching Recount Text Writing At Second Year Students of Smp Negeri 2 Sukadana Lampung Timur. Universitas Lampung.
- Husna, Lailatul. (2013). An Analysis of Students' Writing Skill in Descriptive at Grade X1 IPA 1 of MAN 2 Padang. Journal Ilmiah Pendidikan Scholastic.
- Kaharuddin Andi, Burhanuddin Arafah and Ismail Latif. (2016). Discourse Analysis for English Language Teaching.
- Kaharuddin and Yassi Hakim. (2018). *Syllabus Design for English Language Teaching*. Second Edition. Jakarta: Prenadamedia Group.
- Kaharuddin and Ismail Latif. (2019). Society-Based English Community (SOBAT): EFL Learners' Strategy in Learning and Practicing English Outside The Walls. Journal Ilmu Budaya.
- Kemendikbud. (2017). Bahasa Inggris. Jakarta: Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan.
- Mills, Geoffrey E. and Lorraine R. Gay. (2016). Educational Research: Competencies for Analysis and Applications. Eleventh Edition. Pearson.
- Rahim, M. (2019). THE COMPARISON BETWEEN AUDITORY LEARNERS AND VISUAL LEARNERS' ACHIEVEMENT IN WRITING SKILLS OF THE STUDENTS IN THE ENGLISH EDUCATION DEPARTMENT UIN ALAUDDIN MAKASSAR. English Language Teaching for EFL Learners, 1(1), 49-62. <u>https://doi.org/10.24252/elties.v1i1.7418</u>

- Richards, Jack C. and Willy A. Renandya. (2002). *Methodology in Language Teaching: An Anthology of Current Practice*. United State of America: Cambridge University Press.
- Rinawati, Ossi. (2018). Improving Writing Skills in Descriptive Text Using Clustering Technique for Seventh Year Students of SMP Muhammadiyah Salatiga in the Academic Year of 2017/2018. Thesis: Teacher Training and Education Faculty State Institute For Islamic Studies (Iain) Salatiga.
- Sari, Al Amini I. (2020). Task-Based Language Teaching (Tblt) In the Classroom: An Effort To Teach Students' Skills In Writing Descriptive Text At Second Grade Of Ma Madani Alauddin. Thesis: English Education Department Tarbiyah and Teaching Science Faculty Alauddin State Islamic University Makassar.
- Sinaga, M. (2017). The Effect of Roundtable and Clustering Teaching Techniques and Students' Personal Traits on Students' Achievement in Descriptive Writing. Advances in Language and Literary Studies, 8(6), 69-75. <u>https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.alls.v.8n.6p.69</u>
- Suheni, Afrilia. (2011). The Use of Clustering Technique to Improve Students' Ability in Writing Analytical Expositions for Senior High School (An Experimental Research at the Students of the State Senior High School 1 Weru Sukoharjo of the Eleventh Year). Skripsi: Languages and Arts Faculty Semarang State University.
- Susilo, Alfian Widi. (2017). Teaching Writing Using Clustering Technique for the Eighth Grade Students of SMP Dharma Wanita Pare Academic Year 2016/2017. Skripsi: English Education Department Teacher Training and Education Faculty University of Nusantara PGRI Kediri.
- Syahputri, Siti. (2018). Upaya meningkatkan keaktifan siswa melalui strategi pembelajaran aktif tipe group to group exchange pada pelajaran fikih materi sedekah, hibah, dan hadiah di kelas viii-c mts ex pga proyek univa medan Tahun 2018. Skripsi: Pendidikan Agama Islam Fakultas Ilmu Tarbiyah dan Keguruan UIN Sumatera Utara.
- Wardiman A., Jahur and Djusman. (2008). English in Focus: for Grade VII Junior High School (SMP/MTs). Jakarta: Pusat Perbukuan, DEPDIKNAS.
- Westwood, Peter. (2004). Learning and Learning Difficulties. Britain: David Fulton Publisher.
- Zilan, Evie Septyana Karomatuz. (2014). The Effectiveness of Using Tourism Brochures toward Students' Writing Ability in Descriptive text in the 7 th Grade students of MTs AL HUDA Bandung in Academic Year 2013/2014. Thesis: English Education Program. Institute Islamic Collage (IAIN) of Tulungagung.